Notice of Public Meeting  The Environmental Quality Board convened for a regular meeting at 9:45 a.m. August 23, 2005 in the Seminar Room at MidAmerica Expo Center, Pryor, Oklahoma. (The meeting was delayed due to heavy rains slowing arrivals). This meeting was held in accordance with 25 O.S. Sections 301-314, with notice of the meeting given to the Secretary of State on November 24, 2004. The agenda was mailed to interested parties on August 12, 2005 and was posted on August 19, 2005 on the door of the Expo Center and at the Department of Environmental Quality. Mr. Steve Mason called the meeting to order. Roll call was taken and a quorum was confirmed.

MEMBERS PRESENT
Brita Cantrell
Bob Drake
Jennifer Galvin (arrived @ 9:50)
David Griesel
Jerry Johnston
Steve Mason
Sandra Rose
Richard Wuerflein

MEMBERS ABSENT
Mike Cassidy
Jack Coffman
Tony Dark
Terri Savage
Vacancy

DEQ STAFF PRESENT
Steve Thompson, Executive Director
Jimmy Givens, General Counsel
David Dyke, Administrative Services Division
Eddie Terrill, Air Quality Division
Scott Thompson, Land Protection Division
Jon Craig, Water Quality Division
Judy Duncan, Customer Services Division
Gary Collins, ECLS
Ellen Bussert, Administrative Services Division
Karl Heinzig, Water Quality Division
Myrna Bruce, Secretary, Board & Councils

OTHERS PRESENT
Ellen Phillips, Assistant Attorney General
David Branecky, Member, AQC
Lowell Hobbs, Chair, WQMAC

The Transcript and Attendance Sheet(s) are attached as an official part of these Minutes.

Approval of Minutes  Mr. Mason called for motion to approve the Minutes of the June 21, 2005 Regular Meeting. Mr. Johnston made the motion and Mr. Griesel made the second.

Roll call.
Brita Cantrell  Yes
Bob Drake  Yes
David Griesel  Yes
Jerry Johnston  Yes
Sandra Rose  Yes
Richard Wuerflein  Yes
Steve Mason  Yes
Motion carried.

AQC 252:100  Air Pollution Control  Mr. David Branecky, Member of the Air Quality Council, advised the proposal would remove the one-hour ozone standard from both the Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards contained in appendices E and F. This makes the primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for ozone consistent with the eight-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Mr. Branecky added that effective June 2005, the one-hour standard no longer exists. Following discussion, Mr. Griesel made motion for approval and Ms. Cantrell made the second.

See transcript pages 6 – 9
OAC 252:656 Water Pollution Control Facility Construction Mr. Lowell Hobbs, Chair, Water Quality Management Advisory Council, advised that this rulemaking would revise and clarify the water pollution control facility construction rules which amend existing procedures and requirements for the construction of wastewater treatment and collection systems. Mr. Hobbs explained that new provisions include clarification of requirements for submittal of engineering reports, plans and specifications, and construction standards for sanitary sewers, pump stations, and wastewater treatment facilities. He added that no public comments had been received and that Council had voted unanimously to recommend Board approval of the proposed changes. With no questions from the Board or the public, Mr. Mason called for a motion. Mr. Johnston moved for approval and Mr. Griesel made the second.

See transcript pages 9 - 11

DEQ Operational Budget Request In Craig Kennamer absence, Mr. Steve Thompson provided in-depth information regarding the budget request for State Fiscal Year 2007. Mr. Thompson and staff fielded questions regarding the request. Mr. Johnston made a motion for approval and Ms. Galvin made the second. Mr. Johnston made the motion to approve and Mr. Griesel made the second.

See transcript pages 11 – 27

Calendar Year 2006 Board meeting dates and locations The dates recommended were February 24 at Oklahoma City DEQ Multipurpose Room; June 20 at Weatherford; August 22 at Ardmore Noble Foundation; and November 14 at Tulsa. Mr. Griesel made motion to accept dates given. Mr. Drake made the second.

See transcript pages 11 – 27
**New Business**  Mr. Mason presented flowers to Jerry and Marilyn Johnston to commemorate their 50-year wedding anniversary.

**Executive Director’s Report**  Mr. Steve Thompson discussed Treatment of States issues; the status of the Low Level Radioactive Compact; and the current news items regarding DEQ’s enforcement efforts pertaining to the damming of a scenic river and returning it to its original beauty.

**Adjournment**  At 11:10 Mr. Mason called for adjournment, announcing the Public Forum to follow.

The next regular meeting of the Environmental Quality Board will be November 15, 2005 at the Braman Town Complex, 302 Broadway, Braman, Oklahoma.
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Christy A. Myers
Certified Shorthand Reporter
THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning. My name is Steve Mason, and I'm Chairman of the DEQ Board. This regular meeting of the Environmental Quality Board has been called according to the Oklahoma Meeting Act, Section 311, Title 25 of the Oklahoma Statutes. Notice was filed with the Secretary of State on November 24, 2004. Agendas were mailed to interested parties on August 12, 2005.

The agenda for this meeting was posted on Friday, April 19, 2005 at this facility and at the Department of Environmental Quality, 707 North Robinson, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Only matters appearing on the posted agenda may be considered.

If this meeting is continued or reconvened, we must announce today the date, time and place of the continued meeting and the agenda for such continuation will remain the same as today's agenda.
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Could we have a roll call, please,
to see who is here?

MS. BRUCE: MS. Cantrell.

MS. CANTRELL: Here.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Cassidy is
absent. Mr. Coffman is absent. Mr. Dark
is absent. Mr. Drake.

MR. DRAKE: Here.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Galvin is
expected. Mr. Griesel.

MR. GRIESEL: Here.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Here.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Here.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Savage is absent.

Mr. Wuerflein.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Here.

MS. BRUCE: And Mr. Mason.

MR. MASON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: We do have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN: All right. We ll
continue.

Item 3 is the approval of Minutes of
the June 21st Board Meeting.
MR. JOHNSTON: I move to approve.

MR. GRIESEL: I'll second.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have a first from Jerry and a second from David. Is there any discussion from the Board? Any public discussion? May we have a roll call vote, please?

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Cantrell.

MS. CANTRELL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Drake.

MR. DRAKE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Griesel.

MR. GRIESEL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Wuerflein.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Mason.

MR. MASON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Motion passed.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Great.

Item 4 is a presentation by David
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MR. BRANECKY: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, what I'm bringing to you today for approval -- request of your approval is an amendment to the air pollution control rules, Appendices E and F.

Appendices E and F contain the Primary and Secondary ambient air quality standards. In 1997 EPA proposed revising the standard for ozone from a one-hour .12 ppm standard to an eight-hour .08 ppm standard. After various litigations and gyrations finally they got around to promulgating and implementing the rule. And effective June of this year for Oklahoma the one-hour standard no longer exists.

And so what we're doing today -- or asking for you to approve today is to revise Appendices E and F to reflect the eight hour ozone standard instead of one. And we're asking for a permanent one -- permanent adoption.

THE CHAIRMAN: Questions from the...
Board? Questions from the public? I've got a question. So this replaces the old eight-hour standard we had forever -- or the other way?

MR. BRANECKY: We had the one-hour standard. And this eight-hour standard replaces the one-hour standard.

THE CHAIRMAN: How are we doing with this new standard compared to the old one?

MR. BRANECKY: We are in attainment with the eight-hour standard and I have before me the latest four -- the way it's calculated you take, over a three-year period -- for each year you take the fourth highest reading, take an average and compare that to the standard. We are running the standards .08 -- well, really .085. We can -- if -- because of rounding, we'd go to .084 and still be in compliance.

For Oklahoma City, our highest reading -- our three-year average is .077 -- 079, excuse me. So we're below the standard. For Tulsa it is also .079 and that's the 2003 to 2005, including this.
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past season. So, we're doing good. And it will take a while and even if we have a bad year, this year or next year, we've had some low enough years the past couple of years, the average, we'll keep us in attainment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a Motion?

MR. GREISEL: So moved.

MS. CANTRELL: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have a first from David and a second from Brita. May we have a roll call vote, please?

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Cantrell.

MS. CANTRELL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Drake.

MR. DRAKE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Griesel.

MR. GRIESEL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Wuerflein.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Mason.
MR. MASON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Motion passed.

THE CHAIRMAN: Item 5 is a presentation of water pollution control by Lowell Hobbs.

MR. HOBBS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's my privilege to represent the Water Quality Management Advisory Council, a group of very distinguished, yet very qualified people. You'll notice that our decisions are generally always unanimous. We have a lot of discussion but we know how to come to a conclusion that's a good conclusion. And I present that attitude with you this morning. We have a very qualified staff to lead us in those efforts and I'm privileged to represent the Council and on behalf of those people, and the staff, that's why I'm here.

This is Water Pollution Control Facility Construction Standards. This rulemaking is to revise and clarify the water pollution control facility construction rules. These rules amend...
existing procedures and requirements for
the construction of wastewater treatment
and collection systems.

New provisions include clarification
of requirements for submittal of
engineering reports, plans and
specifications, the requirement to develop
-- requirement to development and implement
operations and maintenance manuals for
construction standards for sanitary sewers,
pump stations, and wastewater treatment
facilities.

There were no comments received
during the comment period or at the Council
meeting. And the Council voted unanimously
to recommend that the Board approve the
changes to Chapter 656.

That's the only one rule that we
have to present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Questions from the Board? Questions from
the public? Is there a Motion?

MR. JOHNSTON: I move.

MR. GREISEL: I'll second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Jerry moves and David
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seconds. All right, Myrna.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Cantrell.

MS. CANTRELL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Drake.

MR. DRAKE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Griesel.

MR. GRIESEL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Wuerflein.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Mason.

MR. MASON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Motion passed.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Item 6 is the DEQ Operational Budget Request. Craig Kennamer was supposed to do the presentation, he's ill. So, we might keep him in our thoughts and prayers. I think Steve is going to do this.

MR. THOMPSON: Yes. And clearly the presentation will suffer by Craig's absence.
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Just an update on Craig, I think the Board is aware that he is again battling lymphoma. He is in the midst of a series of chemotherapy treatments that will be followed by a stem cell transplant. But the prognosis, based on the specialist estimate -- they found 80 matches for the stem cell transplant, which was a small miracle, actually. And so the prognosis is pretty good.

I was unable to convince him not to come but his wife was and so he -- right now he's working when he can and he's resting when he needs to -- or he's resting when somebody at the Agency sends him home and tells him he needs to rest. And so I suspect that treatment will continue all phases for about six months and then they will reevaluate. So, we're just moving along.

So, let me then move into our budget request. A bit about process. In Oklahoma the process for submittal of the budget request is that you assume your general revenue appropriation from past years. You
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don't start at zero, you assume your appropriation from last year, which was 8.2 million dollars after the $800,000 that we received for the Air Toxics Program and the 500,000 that we received for raises. So, the request that we have, is in addition to the 8.2 million dollars that we currently receive.

Now, as you read through the description of our budget request that was included in the Board packet, I think you would note that these are not -- a lot of these are not new ideas. What may be new is the packaging of them. We are packaging our budget request for something that we think that there is really a crying need for in the state and that is for technical and analytical assistance, and the analytical equipment necessary to support small community water systems, particularly, related to federal mandates that have come along in the Drinking Water Act.

The staff put together some pretty interesting information, I think. We have
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nine systems in the state that serve 50,000 people or greater. And accumulatively, those nine systems serve one and a half million people. We have another 131 systems that serve people between 3,400 and 50,000 and the cumulative total of people served by those 131 systems is 1.3 million dollars. And then we have almost 1,500 systems that serve 725,000 people. And it is those 1,500 systems serving those 725,000 people that we are addressing this assistance package to.

So, let me talk then about the specifics of the cost to try to alleviate the burden on those systems. We have included $345,000 for six additional staff to provide technical assistance to those folks. With the advent of groundwater under the influence of surface water, disinfection by-product, radio nucleids, arsenic, and a whole array of drinking water systems, those 1,500 small systems are struggling to come into compliance. And while I am not altogether sure that six people is enough to assist them, it’s a
It's a reasonable start to ask for six people to do that thing.

The second piece is that -- it's to return to a request that we had last year. As the requirements for small drinking water systems monitoring increases, the cost increased to those people, the cost of the analytical works.

Last year we asked for a half a million dollars to offset those analytical cost to small systems. We, quite frankly, last year concentrated more on the air program than we did the water program, but we are going to concentrate almost exclusively on this notion of small community assistance this year.

So, we are again asking for $513,000 to offset -- as a direct offset to their increased cost of analysis. We would take that money, use it for analysis, and avoid billing these small systems for their increased analytical cost to the extent that it could.

Another piece of this is that we have traditionally asked for several
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billion dollars in support for TMDLs.

It’s not quite true, but a lot of money, and have been universally unsuccessful in receiving funding for TMDLs. TMDLs being how you allocate LOADS between points sources and non-points sources to a stream segment, which is a requirement of federal law.

What we are attempting to do this time, we’ve isolated, I think, the number is 117 systems -- drinking water systems, small community systems where the raw water -- the impacts to raw water are influencing the quality of the water that has to be treated.

So, our effort is in this case -- and these are small communities that don’t have anybody else or any other way to pay for a TMDL. If we needed a TMDL for a discharge for Conoco-Philips, we might just ask Conoco-Philips for some help in that arena. I suspect they’d be happy to do so.

If Oklahoma City needed a TMDL, we’d probably ask Oklahoma City to do the TMDL.

But for the small communities where we have
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to do TMDL's and there is an impact to the raw water supply, we want to tack that into this request. So, there is $400,000 for that.

The next item is something that I really want to spend a little bit of time on that is -- that really reflects the change in the attitude of the Agency and how we address small communities both from the water quality side and from the public water side, and that is a $60,000 request for a small community compliance hotline.

We have gotten ourselves into a situation where the Agency is using the consent order as the primary communication tool with a small community. And that is a poor communication tool. I mean, they don't understand them, they think often small communities are frightened by them. If you're Oklahoma City or Tulsa or a large community then you have the legal and engineering resources to address the issue but if you're small, you might not.

So, what we want to do is really change that to a -- to what we have deemed
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-- what we are calling the my facility comp concept, where we have a person in the Agency that is responsible and accountable for each of these small systems. We re going to change -- and is responsible for the communication necessary to help the small community understand what they really, no kidding, need to do.

Now, we are also going to change the wording in consent orders that not only cites the rule, but explains the rule and the underlying cause of the rule, so the small communities have a better understanding of what really it is we re talking about. And then there will be a description of our efforts -- of the accountable persons effort to work with that small community to help them understand what they really need to do in the consent order.

Now, since I sign all of those, that will be my tracking mechanism to determine how effective the my facility effort is. If it s successful, we think -- so, let s get back to the budgeting item for the
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60,000. If it's successful, we think that there will -- that communities rather than being frightened of the DEQ, will be encouraged to call the DEQ, and we need a central location for those calls to come into.

Now, once they get into this central location, we will have teams of issue experts to help and then the person who is ultimately accountable to that system, the one person, will be given the assistance that he or she needs to assist the community. So, it's a long way to explain a $60,000 request, but I thought it was important to do so.

We need funding for equipment for new technologies, particularly chryptosperidium and giardia and $80,000 for that and $60,000 for radon.

And the last one is sort of a backdoor assistance issue. We have -- we haven't -- Judy has patched together her analytical equipment for about as long as she can patch it together. And that's because we don't cover the cost of
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equipment replacement in our fee, in our public water supply fee. So, we -- she comes up and puts the arm on me for little extra pockets of cash that we have around the Agency and then if I'm not receptive, she'll go to Scott and put the arm on him and she -- but there is no routine way for us to replace that equipment. And we're at the point where we're going to have to start thinking about bringing to you all the notion of paying a replacement cost in a fee.

So, we're going to have a mandate to these small communities for this equipment replacement ourselves, and that we know we complain about the feds all the time. Well, this is our own mandate unless we can get the legislature to routinely provide replacement cost for our analytical equipment.

So, if you add all of that stuff up, we're going to ask, under the umbrella of small community assistance for 1.8 million dollars taking our -- assuming no change in existing general revenue contribution from
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8.2 to 10 million dollars which represents
-- I don't know, what would that put us at,
David, maybe 20 percent of our total
budget? Is that a fair guess? The rest of
it being either federal funds or fees.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I'll take
any questions that the Board might have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Questions.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Just a
clarification, Steve. All of these items
-- none of them are like a one time
request, they're actually something we're
requesting to be added to our baseline
budget from now on?

MR. THOMPSON: That's -- no one
time cost in this. They're all continuing
cost items.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you have
adequate staff in your customer assistance
groups so you don't need the 60,000 for a
new person?

MR. THOMPSON: The answer to your
question is, if right now, we would. We
probably would. But with the advent of the
my facility initiative, we think we re
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going to tie those folks up with outreach efforts to a pretty significant extent.

So, the two things are tied together, people working on my facility in customer services and the need for the hotline, if that is successful and, you know, we'll report back on the success of that initially, if that is successful and we get the kind of response we are hopeful of getting, the answer is no.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the public?

MS. GALVIN: Steve and Steve, I have one last question. There is the $140,000 equipment for new testing, you will be requesting the same 140,000 next year; is that what I heard you answer?

MR. THOMPSON: That's a very good point. Judy, do you want to help me out? The 140,000 for the new equipment for cryptosporidium and giardia, that would actually be a one time cost, wouldn't it?

MS. DUNCAN: Yes, except there are new technologies that come along periodically. Right now we know that we
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need to develop technology for
chrypotsperidium, giardia, possibly the
capability to do radon and drinking water.

There are emerging concerns about
human by-products and pharmaceuticals found
in surface water and so we perceive that we
would expect that in the future there will
be testing requirements for those things
and the water quality monitoring program.
It's just kind of -- as time goes along,
those things just come up over and over
again.

Also, replacement cost that we have
figured into that is based on an
approximately 9 to 10 a year or for
replacement type equipment and, you know,
in the years we are developing technology
we would speed up efforts (inaudible) to
get replacements at the time.

MS. GALVIN: So what I heard you
say is next year it may not be 140,000, but
it may be 90, it may be 140, but each year
you may have some expectations of this
being in the budget?

MR. THOMPSON: She's going to
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come up and say yes.

MS. DUNCAN: Yes. Our experience over the last 20 years in the lab is that the technology for monitoring the environment changes and there are varying things that come along and you have to have an influx of money from time-to-time to develop those new technologies. In the past, we’ve gotten along as the major environmental acts are being developed, we’ve gotten along by being able to use early money in those programs to gear up to do those things. But that’s, you know, there aren’t that many new major acts on the horizon that have that kind of money in them.

MS. GALVIN: Thank you.

MR. JOHNSTON: I don’t really have a question, but I have a Motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right.

MR. GREISEL: I have a second.

MR. JOHNSTON: As a representative of small town America, I am exceedingly happy to make a motion to approve this budget.
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THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

MR. GREISEL: I second.

CHAIRMAN: David seconds.

Any discussion? Okay.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Cantrell.

MS. CANTRELL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Drake.

MR. DRAKE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Galvin.

MS. GALVIN: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Griesel.

MR. GRIESEL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Wuerflein.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Mason.

MR. MASON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Motion passed.

THE CHAIRMAN: Jerry, I hope we get this money for all the small towns you represent.

MR. JOHNSTON: Also some really
good ideas in there that’s going to help us
as much as the money. Some things that
Steve is doing is really needed.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Well, the
assistance hotline and I know Jerry’s
referring to that, there is a definite
communication problem that people think DEQ
is the bad guy when they’re actually
probably more helpful than what some of the
small town Mayors that haven’t worked as
close as Jerry has with you, don’t realize.

MR. THOMPSON: I think we have to
take much of the credit for that situation.
It’s not universally true but if -- I think
John will not disagree, that we got in the
really bad habit that, again, that that
consent order was -- the way we were
communicating and it’s a fine tool for
Oklahoma City or Tulsa or Muskogee, it is
not a good tool for a town of 3 or 4 or 500
people. And so when you get -- all you’re
hearing from an agency is this signed
official looking document. Even though
it’s an agreement on what we’re going to
do. Still, we’ve got to change that. And

Christy A. Myers
Certified Shorthand Reporter
we re going to try.

MR. JOHNSTON: The consent order is getting to be -- the problem with CEBD grants is that without a consent order, you can t get them. And I haven t wanted one, but I didn t get my grant this year. Next year I m going to want one. I mean I could -- forget I said that. But you re going to have to have one to get your grants to do the things you need to do to comply.

THE CHAIRMAN: Point well taken.

Any other comments on our budget? So, in 2006, which is Item 7, where would we like to meet?

MR. DRAKE: Well, I d like to recommend August the 22nd that if -- and I ll check on that date, I ve already checked to see if it would be okay, to meet in Ardmore at Noble Foundation. Those of you who have met there before know what they will provide for us and those of you who haven t will be in for such a treat as I can t even begin to tell you if you ve never been there. I would like to recommend, again, depending on the date,
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August 22nd, and I'll call and verify that in a little bit.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Other thoughts?

MR. WUERFLEIN: I've always requested that we meet in the either western or northwest part of the state in June to save my travel time and this side of the table has kind of discussed Weatherford for our June meeting. So, if that would be agreeable, we would suggest that.

MR. DRAKE: Southwestern does a pretty good job for us, I think, last time in Weatherford.

UNIDENTIFIED: That would be the --

MR. DRAKE: I'm looking at that book back there at the far back of the room.

MS. CANTRELL: Well, I throw in a request for Tulsa again for November 14th. I think that we have an excellent facility that we've used in the past in Tulsa that provided easy access and a good working
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environment for the Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: So it's been suggested Weatherford in June, Ardmore in August, Tulsa in November.

MR. GREISEL: I'd make a Motion for all of those.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have a Motion with David.

MR. DRAKE: I'd second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Second from Bob.

Any discussion from the Board? Any discussion from the public?

Myrna, let's see if that's where we're going to meet.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Cantrell.

MS. CANTRELL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Drake.

MR. DRAKE: With the provision that I will call Nobel and see if it's open. I know that they will let us. Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Galvin.

MS. GALVIN: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Griesel.

MR. GRIESEL: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Johnston.
MR. JOHNSTON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Wuerflein.

MR. WUERFLEIN: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Mr. Mason.

MR. MASON: Yes.

MS. BRUCE: Motion passed for those dates and locations.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right.

Let s go to any new business. We ve lost Bob. I think Bob s working on Ardmore. He doesn t waste any time. So, while Bob calls Ardmore, why don t we get some coffee.

(Break)

(End of Proceedings)
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