

**TITLE 252. OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
CHAPTER 4. RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE**

RULE IMPACT STATEMENT

Before the Water Quality Management Advisory Council on January 8, 2013
Before the Environmental Quality Board on February 22, 2013

1. **DESCRIPTION:** The changes being proposed are to correct unintended consequences from the amendments made during last year's rulemaking effort to include reclaimed water permits in the Tier classifications. By replacing "wastewater" with "reclaimed water" the Department inadvertently caused the land application of "biosolids" and "industrial wastewater" to be omitted from the Tier classifications.
2. **CLASSES OF PERSONS AFFECTED:** Current and future owners and operators of systems that land apply biosolids or industrial wastewater.
3. **CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO WILL BEAR COSTS:** None anticipated.
4. **INFORMATION ON COST IMPACTS FROM PRIVATE/PUBLIC ENTITIES:** None submitted as of this date.
5. **CLASSES OF PERSONS BENEFITTED:** Future owners, operators and customers of water reuse systems.
6. **PROBABLE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON AFFECTED CLASSES OF PERSONS:** There is no direct impact on water reuse systems from this chapter.
7. **PROBABLE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS:** None anticipated.
8. **POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESS:** None anticipated.
9. **LISTING OF ALL FEE CHANGES, INCLUDING A SEPARATE JUSTIFICATION FOR EACH FEE CHANGE:** None.
10. **PROBABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS TO DEQ TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE:** None anticipated.
11. **PROBABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS TO OTHER AGENCIES TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE:** None.
12. **SOURCE OF REVENUE TO BE USED TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE RULE:** None anticipated.
13. **PROJECTED NET LOSS OR GAIN IN REVENUES FOR DEQ AND/OR OTHER**

AGENCIES, IF IT CAN BE PROJECTED: None.

14. **COOPERATION OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT OR ENFORCE RULE:** None.
15. **EXPLANATION OF THE MEASURES THE DEQ TOOK TO MINIMIZE COMPLIANCE COSTS:** N/A
16. **DETERMINATION OF WHETHER THERE ARE LESS COSTLY OR NONREGULATORY OR LESS INTRUSIVE METHODS OF ACHIEVING THE PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE:** None.
17. **DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECT ON PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT:** None.
18. **IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS DESIGNED TO REDUCE SIGNIFICANT RISKS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT, EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE RISK AND TO WHAT EXTENT THE PROPOSED RULE WILL REDUCE THE RISK:** None.
19. **DETERMINATION OF ANY DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS NOT IMPLEMENTED:** None.
20. **PROBABLE QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE IMPACT ON BUSINESS ENTITIES (INCLUDE QUANTIFIABLE DATA WHERE POSSIBLE):** None.

THIS RULE IMPACT STATEMENT WAS PREPARED ON: December 14, 2012