RULE IMPACT STATEMENT

Before the Water Quality Management Advisory Council on January 10, 2012
Before the Environmental Quality Board on February 24, 2012

1. **DESCRIPTION:** The main purpose for this rulemaking is to remove language concerning the operation, maintenance and closure of land application sites connected to non-industrial discharging and public water supply lagoon facilities. The operation and maintenance of land application sites associated with these types of facilities will be moved to the new Chapter 627, “Operating and Maintenance of Water Reuse Systems.” DEQ also revoked redundant language concerning financial assurances.

2. **CLASSES OF PERSONS AFFECTED:** Owners, operators and customers of non-industrial discharging and public water supply facilities with land application.

3. **CLASSES OF PERSONS WHO WILL BEAR COSTS:** None anticipated.

4. **INFORMATION ON COST IMPACTS FROM PRIVATE/PUBLIC ENTITIES:** None submitted as of this date.

5. **CLASSES OF PERSONS BENEFITTED:** Owners, operators and customers of non-industrial discharging and public water supply facilities.

6. **PROBABLE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON AFFECTED CLASSES OF PERSONS:** There is no direct impact from removing the land application rules from this chapter. Any impact will be the result of development of the new Chapter 627. Impacts from Chapter 627 are addressed in that Chapter’s rulemaking.

7. **PROBABLE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS:** See No. 6 above.

8. **POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESS:** See No. 6 above.

9. **LISTING OF ALL FEE CHANGES, INCLUDING A SEPARATE JUSTIFICATION FOR EACH FEE CHANGE:** None.

10. **PROBABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS TO DEQ TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE:** None anticipated.

11. **PROBABLE COSTS AND BENEFITS TO OTHER AGENCIES TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE:** None.
12. **SOURCE OF REVENUE TO BE USED TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE RULE:** N/A.

13. **PROJECTED NET LOSS OR GAIN IN REVENUES FOR DEQ AND/OR OTHER AGENCIES, IF IT CAN BE PROJECTED:** None.

14. **COOPERATION OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT OR ENFORCE RULE:** None.

15. **EXPLANATION OF THE MEASURES THE DEQ TOOK TO MINIMIZE COMPLIANCE COSTS:** N/A

16. **DETERMINATION OF WHETHER THERE ARE LESS COSTLY OR NONREGULATORY OR LESS INTRUSIVE METHODS OF ACHIEVING THE PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE:** None.

17. **DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECT ON PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT:** See No. 6 above.

18. **IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS DESIGNED TO REDUCE SIGNIFICANT RISKS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT, EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE RISK AND TO WHAT EXTENT THE PROPOSED RULE WILL REDUCE THE RISK:** See No. 6 above.

19. **DETERMINATION OF ANY DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT IF THE PROPOSED RULE IS NOT IMPLEMENTED:** See No. 6 above.

20. **PROBABLE QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE IMPACT ON BUSINESS ENTITIES (INCLUDE QUANTIFIABLE DATA WHERE POSSIBLE):** See No. 6 above.

**THIS RULE IMPACT STATEMENT WAS PREPARED ON:** November 30, 2011