



**Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality- ARRA Subgrant
Ranking Tool**

Applicant:

Project/Site:

Applicant meets Eligibility Criteria (Y/N):

Evaluator:

Evaluation Date:

Application Number:

Evaluation & Ranking Criteria	Total Possible Points	Actual Project Score (Include Justification)
<p>Financial Need [Extent to which subgrant will meet needs of a community unable to draw on other funding sources]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant has access to sufficient alternate funding sources, the area is economically sound, applicant does not demonstrate financial need & does not merit award of subgrant (0 points) • Economic development funds without limitation on kind of use available to applicant, & some brownfield redevelopment projects already initiated in community (0 - 10 points) • Economic development funds available, but environmental costs are not eligible costs and applicant does not have access to funds to pay for environmental costs (10 - 20 points) • Lack of site cleanup funds holding up redevelopment plans for general area, applicant has no source of additional economic development funds & project is the 1st brownfield redevelopment in immediate neighborhood (20 - 30 points) 	<p>30</p>	

Evaluation & Ranking Criteria	Total Possible Points	Actual Project Score (Include Justification)
<p>Protect Human health & Environment: [How subgrant will be used to protect human health and the environment]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not protect (0 points) • Provides minimal protection (0 – 5 points) • Provides medium level of protection (5 – 10 points) • Provides good level of protection (10 - 15 points) 	15	
<p>Community Benefit: [Extent to which site cleanup will promote community-wide redevelopment and revitalization]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No community-wide benefits & no redevelopment plans or funding sources in place(0 points) • Redevelopment plan in place, no project timeline, no potential finance/investment sources identified & some community improvement (0 – 10) • Redevelopment plan in place, committed financial sources identified, project timeline and budget created, & immense community-wide benefits (10 - 25 points) 	25	
<p>Green Redevelopment: [Extent to which subgrant will facilitate “green redevelopment”- energy efficiency, resource conservation, LEED certification, reuse of existing structures/infrastructure, reuse of demolition material, etc. or result in creation of a park, greenway or use of site for recreation or other non-profit purposes]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No green redevelopment measures planned (0 points) 	10	

Evaluation & Ranking Criteria	Total Possible Points	Actual Project Score (Include Justification)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited green redevelopment measures planned, no public use opportunities envisioned (0 - 5 points) • Extensive green redevelopment measures, and use for recreation and other non-profit purposes planned (5 - 10 points) 		
<p>Job creation: [How many permanent jobs & How many temporary construction jobs will be created as a result of this subgrant?]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jobs are created/retained in a large-sized, self-sufficient community (0 - 5 points) • Jobs are created/retained in a mid-sized community, and any jobs created/retained would have a medium impact on the community's economy (5 – 10 points) • Jobs are created/retained in a small-sized community that direly needs the jobs (10 – 20 points) <p>(For more information on job estimate calculations, see: www.whitehouse.gov/omb/assets/memoranda_2010/m10-08.pdf www.epa.gov/recovery/supplement2.html)</p>	20	
Total	100	

Note:

An average of the individual scores assigned to a project/site by individuals evaluating the Statement of Interest on the basis of the evaluation and ranking criteria will be considered as the final score. Any outliers in individual scores will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

In the event of a tie in project/site scores, the projects/sites involved in the tie will be further evaluated and ranked on the basis of information provided in the Statement of Interest for the following categories (in the order of) Financial Need, Community Benefit, Job creation, Protect Human health & Environment, Green Redevelopment.

For use of the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality ONLY