

**DRAFT MINUTES
AIR QUALITY ADVISORY COUNCIL
January 18, 2017
Department of Environmental Quality
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma**

Official AQAC Approved
at June 7, 2017 meeting

Notice of Public Meeting – The Air Quality Advisory Council (AQAC) convened for its Regular Meeting at 9:00 a.m. on January 18, 2017, at the Department of Environmental Quality, 707 North Robinson, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Notice of the meeting was forwarded to the Office of Secretary of State on October 13, 2016. The agenda was posted at the DEQ twenty-four hours prior to the meeting. Also, Ms. Beverly Botchlet-Smith acted as Protocol Officer and convened the hearings by the AQAC in compliance with the Oklahoma Administrative Procedures Act and Title 40 CFR Part 51 and Title 27A, Oklahoma Statutes, Sections 2-2-201 and 2-5-101 through 2-5-117. She entered the agenda and the Oklahoma Register Notice into the record and announced that forms were available at the registration table for anyone wishing to comment on any of the rules. Mr. Gerald Butcher, Chair, called the meeting to order. Ms. Quiana Fields called roll and confirmed that a quorum was present.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Gerald Butcher
Gary Collins
David Gamble
Jim Haught
Laura Lodes
Sharon Myers

MEMBERS ABSENT

Montelle Clark
Robert Lynch

DEQ STAFF PRESENT

Eddie Terrill
Beverly Botchlet-Smith
Cheryl Bradley
Laura Finley
Madison Miller
Brooks Kirlin
Jacklyn Garrett
Nancy Marshment
Quiana Fields
Dawson Lasseter
Phillip Fielder
Tom Richardson
Malcolm Zachariah
Cindy Hailes
Martha Penisten

Sarah Penn

Kole Kennedy
Jonathan Truong
Patrick Riley
Randy Ward
Cooper Garbe
Melanie Foster
Leon Ashford
Rick Groshong
Michelle Wynn
Pat Sullivan
Lloyd Kirk
Dawson Lasseter

OTHERS PRESENT

Lynette Wrany, Court Reporter

Approval of Minutes – Mr. Butcher called for a motion to approve the Minutes of the October 12, 2016 Regular Meeting. Ms. Lodes moved to approve and Mr. Collins made the second.

See transcript pages 3 - 4

| | | | |
|----------------|-----|--------------|---------|
| Gerald Butcher | Yes | Jim Haught | Yes |
| Gary Collins | Yes | Laura Lodes | Yes |
| David Gamble | Yes | Sharon Myers | Abstain |

Resolution of Ms. Myers – Mr. Butcher presented Ms. Myers a plaque honoring her for her years of service and her departure from the Council. Ms. Myers thanked the Council and Air Quality staff.

See transcript pages 4 - 7

Election of Officers – Mr. Haught nominated Ms. Lodes as Chair and Mr. Gamble as Vice-Chair. Mr. Collins made the second. Ms. Lodes proceeded with the next agenda item.

See transcript pages 7 - 8

| | | | |
|----------------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Gerald Butcher | Yes | Jim Haught | Yes |
| Gary Collins | Yes | Laura Lodes | Yes |
| David Gamble | Yes | Sharon Myers | Yes |

Chapter 4. Rules of Practice and Procedure

OAC 252:4-7. Environmental Permit Process [AMENDED]

Mr. Brooks Kirlin, Professional Engineer of the Air Quality Division (AQD), stated the Department is proposing to amend OAC 252:4-7-13, Notices, to align the Department’s notice content requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permits with those promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Following discussion by the Council and by the public, Ms. Lodes called for a motion. Ms. Myers moved to approve and Mr. Butcher made the second.

See transcript pages 9 - 18

| | | | |
|----------------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Gerald Butcher | Yes | Jim Haught | Yes |
| Gary Collins | Yes | Laura Lodes | Yes |
| David Gamble | Yes | Sharon Myers | Yes |

Chapter 100. Air Pollution Control

OAC 252:100-7. Permits for Minor Facilities [AMENDED]

Mr. Cooper Garbe, Environmental Programs Specialist of the AQD, stated the Department is proposing to amend the Permit By Rule (PBR) in OAC 252:100-7 to add references to the EPA’s recently promulgated New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) in 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOOOa. Hearing no discussion by the Council or the public, Ms. Lodes called for a motion. Mr. Gamble moved to approve and Mr. Butcher made the second.

See transcript pages 18 - 21

| | | | |
|----------------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Gerald Butcher | Yes | Jim Haught | Yes |
| Gary Collins | Yes | Laura Lodes | Yes |
| David Gamble | Yes | Sharon Myers | Yes |

OAC 252:100-47. Control of Emissions from Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills [AMENDED]

Appendix R. Emissions Calculations for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills [NEW]

Mr. Malcolm Zachariah, Environmental Programs Specialist of the AQD, stated the Department proposes to amend OAC 252:100, Subchapter 47. Control of Emissions from Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills to reflect EPA’s recently promulgated Emission Guidelines in 40 CFR, Part 60, Subpart Cf. The proposal would also add a new Appendix R, Emissions Calculations for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, to incorporate equations pertinent to the proposed rule. Following discussion by the Council and by the public, Ms. Lodes called for a motion that the staff recommends the Council carry the rule forward to a subsequent meeting. Ms. Myers moved to approve and Mr. Butcher made the second.

See transcript pages 21 - 39

| | | | |
|----------------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Gerald Butcher | Yes | Jim Haught | Yes |
| Gary Collins | Yes | Laura Lodes | Yes |
| David Gamble | Yes | Sharon Myers | Yes |

Ms. Botchlet-Smith announced the conclusion of the hearing portion of the meeting.

See transcript page 39

Presentation – Ms. Madison Miller, Environmental Attorney of the DEQ, gave a presentation on EPA Administration Transition: Legal Standards for Changing Policy.

Mr. Eddie Terrill, Division Director of the AQD, requested to go to “New Business” before the “Division Director’s Report.”

New Business – Mr. Budd Ground, President of the Environmental Federation of Oklahoma, spoke to the Council regarding writing a resolution to the Legislature on funds being taken from the DEQ as the Solid Waste Management Council has done. Mr. Ground encouraged the AQAC to write up a resolution as a Council or as individuals this Legislative session regarding the Legislature taking funds. As a result, the AQAC members will send comments this Legislative session but will work with the AQ staff to write up a resolution for the AQAC to address the Legislature for the 2018 session.

Division Director's Report – Mr. Terrill provided an update on other Division activities.

Adjournment – The next scheduled regular meeting is on Wednesday, June 7, 2017 in Tulsa, Oklahoma. Meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

Transcript and attendance sheet are attached as an official part of these Minutes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AIR QUALITY ADVISORY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING

JANUARY 18, 2017 - 9:00 A.M.

Multi-Purpose Room, 1st Floor
DEQ Building
707 N. Robinson
Oklahoma City, OK

Reported by Lynette H. Wrany, C.S.R. #1167



320 NW 13th STREET • OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73103
405 319 9990 • FAX 405 802 9993 • loweryandassociates@coxinet.net

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AIR QUALITY ADVISORY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
JANUARY 18, 2017 - 9:00 A.M.

Multi-Purpose Room, 1st Floor
DEQ Building
707 N. Robinson
Oklahoma City, OK

Reported by Lynette H. Wrany, C.S.R. #1167

CALL TO ORDER - 9:00 A.M.

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: We'll call this meeting to order.

Quiana, you ready for a roll call?

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Butcher.

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Here.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Clark is absent. Mr. Collins?

MR. GARY COLLINS: Present.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Gamble?

MR. DAVID GAMBLE: Here.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Haught?

MR. JIM HAUGHT: Present.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Lodes?

MS. LAURA LODES: Present.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Lynch is absent. Ms. Myers?

MS. SHARON MYERS: Yes.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: We have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Thank you very much.

Okay. Now, we'll move on to the approval of the Minutes, which was the meeting that took place back in October in Owasso, Oklahoma.

VICE CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I move that we

1

3

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Gerald Butcher, Chairman
Gary Collins
David Gamble, Vice Chair-Elect
Jim Haught
Laura Lodes, Chairman-Elect
Sharon Myers

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT:

Montelle Clark
Robert Lynch

1 approve the Minutes.

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: We have a motion to approve. Do we have a second?

MR. GARY COLLINS: I will second.

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Okay. We've got a second. All in favor? You get to -- perhaps you get to do that part.

VICE CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: She's got to call the roll.

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Sorry about that.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Butcher?

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Yes.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Collins?

MR. GARY COLLINS: Yes.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Gamble?

MR. DAVID GAMBLE: Yes.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Haught?

MR. JIM HAUGHT: Yes.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Lodes?

VICE CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Yes.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Myers?

MS. SHARON MYERS: Abstain.

MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Motion passed.

CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: We have -- the next item on the Agenda is to honor one of our long-time

2

4

1 Council members that kind of got me involved in all of
2 this. And so I think I appreciate her serving over
3 the years.

4 MR. EDDIE TERRILL: That was going to be my
5 line.

6 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: She did a wonderful
7 job when she was there, but she put the pressure on
8 the rest of us and now she's going to abandon us.
9 That's Sharon Myers.

10 She has served for -- well, we were debating
11 whether it's 19 or 20 years, but it's a long time and
12 she's done a wonderful job.

13 So we have two plaques here today. One is
14 signed by Scott Thompson, the other one is signed by
15 Eddie Terrill and myself.

16 But Sharon, I guess, if you'll come forward,
17 we'll present these.

18 MR. EDDIE TERRILL: Sharon, we want to see
19 pictures of where you put this in a place of
20 prominence in your home.

21 MS. SHARON MYERS: Absolutely. Absolutely.

22 VICE CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Right there with
23 the 15 grandchildren pictures, right?

24 MS. SHARON MYERS: Oh, they're on my phone.
25 All right.

5

1 So it's a lot of work, a lot of time put into
2 it and we really appreciate it. We really do.

3 MS. SHARON MYERS: Stir and agitate.

4 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Okay. The next
5 item on the Agenda is the Election of Officers. So I
6 guess the floor is open for nominations for Chairman.

7 VICE CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: And Vice-Chair.

8 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: And Vice-Chair.
9 True.

10 MR. JIM HAUGHT: I'd like to make a motion
11 that the Council approve Laura Lodes for the position
12 of Chairperson and David Gamble for the position of
13 Vice-Chair.

14 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Okay. We have a
15 motion for Laura to be the Chairman and David to be
16 the Vice Chairman.

17 Do we have a second?

18 MR. GARY COLLINS: I'll second.

19 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Okay. We've got a
20 motion and a second. I won't jump on you this time.
21 It's your turn.

22 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Butcher.

23 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Yes.

24 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Collins?

25 MR. GARY COLLINS: Yes.

7

1 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: There is that one.
2 MS. SHARON MYERS: Well, thank you very much.
3 Appreciate it.

4 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: You're welcome.
5 Thank you, Sharon.

6 MS. SHARON MYERS: I wonder why I have just
7 these words of wisdom.

8 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Are you going to
9 give a speech?

10 MS. SHARON MYERS: Stir and agitate.

11 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Stir and agitate.

12 MS. SHARON MYERS: Ask questions.

13 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Okay.

14 MR. EDDIE TERRILL: Sharon, I do appreciate
15 -- I think you're the only constant. I was looking
16 to see. I think you are the only member that has been
17 here my whole tenure. We've outlasted everybody, you
18 and I.

19 And so, I really appreciate the work that you
20 put into it. I don't think -- folks that never have
21 done what we ask you guys to do, I don't think,
22 realize the amount of work, if you do it right, you
23 put into it to be prepared and ask questions and
24 represent your industry and represent the rest of the
25 state.

6

1 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Gamble?

2 MR. DAVID GAMBLE: Yes.

3 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Haught?

4 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Yes.

5 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Lodes?

6 VICE CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Yes.

7 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Myers?

8 MS. SHARON MYERS: Yes.

9 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Motion passed.

10 VICE CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN GERALD BUTCHER: Thank you. Okay,
12 Laura. It's all yours.

13 (Whereupon, pursuant to the election of new
14 officers, the meeting was Chaired by Ms. Laura Lodes.)

15 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Okay. We will now
16 enter the public rulemaking portion of our hearing.
17 And we'll turn this part over to Beverly.

18 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Good morning.

19 I'm Beverly Botchlet-Smith. I'm the Assistant
20 Director of the Air Quality Division. And as such,
21 I'll serve as the protocol officer for today's
22 hearings.

23 The hearings will be convened by the Air
24 Quality Council in compliance with the Oklahoma
25 Administrative Procedures Act and Title 40 of the Code

8

1 of Federal Regulations, Part 51, as well as the
2 authority of Title 27A of the Oklahoma Statutes,
3 Section 2-2-201 and Sections 2-5-101 through 2-5-117.

4 Notice of the January 18th, 2017 hearings
5 were advertised in the *Oklahoma Register* for the
6 purpose of receiving comments pertaining to the
7 proposed OAC Title 252 Chapter 100 rules as listed on
8 the Agenda and will be entered into each record along
9 with the *Oklahoma Register* filing. Notice of Meeting
10 was filed with the Secretary of State on October 13th,
11 2016. The Agenda was duly posted 24 hours prior to
12 the meeting here at DEQ.

13 If you wish to make a statement, it's very
14 important to complete the form that's found at the
15 registration table, and you will be called upon at the
16 appropriate time. Audience members, please, come to
17 the podium for your comments and, please, state your
18 name.

19 At this time we'll proceed with what's marked
20 as Agenda Item Number 6A on the Hearing Agenda. That
21 is Chapter 4. Rules of Practice and Procedure. OAC
22 252: 4-7, the Environmental Permit Process. And Mr.
23 Brooks Kirlin will give the Staff presentation.

24 MR. BROOKS KIRLIN: Good morning. Madam
25 Chair, Members of the Council, Ladies and Gentlemen,

9

1 submitted for their review. This rule change should
2 remove an obstacle to approval that they identified
3 during their review of relevant portions of Oklahoma's
4 SIP.

5 You may recall that at the October meeting,
6 the Council approved several changes to Subchapters 1
7 and 8 of the Air Quality rules for a similar purpose.
8 EPA's approval of the SIP submittals will help ensure
9 the Department can continue to implement a fully
10 defensible PSD program. If today's proposed changes
11 are promulgated, they will be submitted for inclusion
12 in the SIP and today's hearing will serve to meet
13 public participation requirements for a SIP submittal.

14 As I stated, Subsection 4-7-13(g) affects
15 only notices for Air Quality permits, and the proposed
16 new paragraph (5) would affect only notices for
17 permits issued under PSD provisions of Subchapter 8,
18 Part 7 in Chapter 100. Subparagraph (A) would require
19 that all published notices identify the degree of
20 increment consumption that is expected from the source
21 or modification. Subparagraph (B) would require that
22 the mailing list developed by DEQ under paragraph
23 (g)(1) include -- would include the EPA Administrator,
24 plus local, city, and county officials, the local area
25 planning agency, and any State, Federal Land Manager,

11

1 I'm Brooks Kirlin, an engineer with Air Quality's
2 Rules and Planning Section.

3 The Department is proposing to amend -- The
4 Department is proposing to amend certain public notice
5 requirements in Chapter 4, which covers DEQ's
6 agency-wide Rules of Practice and Procedure. Proposed
7 changes to Chapter 4 do not typically require
8 recommendation from an individual council. However,
9 as indicated by their tagline for OAC 252:4-7-13,
10 Subsection (g), it lays out specific "Additional
11 notice requirements for Clean Air Act Permits." The
12 Air Quality Advisory Council is, therefore, the
13 appropriate body to recommend these revisions to the
14 Environmental Quality Board.

15 Today we're proposing to add a new Paragraph
16 (5) to Subsection (g) to better align the Department's
17 notice content requirements for Prevention of
18 Significant Deterioration Permits or PSD permits with
19 the Environmental Protection Agency's requirements in
20 40 CFR Section 51.166. The revisions are intended to
21 make certain that the State's rules are not less
22 stringent than the federal rules.

23 As you may know, EPA Region 6 Staff has been
24 making progress working through the backlog of State
25 Implementation Plan or "SIP" revisions that have been

10

1 or Tribal Government whose lands may be affected by
2 emissions from the source or modification.

3 Again, the proposed changes are intended to
4 affect only the process for issuing PSD permits, and
5 would not affect the process for permits issued under
6 other chapters of DEQ's rules or other media -- or for
7 other media or other parts of Chapter 100.

8 Notice of the proposed rule changes was
9 published in the *Oklahoma Register* on December 15th,
10 2016. No comments have been received.

11 So Staff asks that the Council recommend the
12 proposed rules to the Environmental Quality Board for
13 approval as a permanent rule change. Thanks.

14 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Thank you,
15 Brooks.

16 At this time, we would like to take comments
17 and questions from the Council.

18 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Any comments or
19 questions?

20 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Okay. Hearing
21 none at this time, are there any comments or questions
22 from the public?

23 Okay. One last opportunity for the Council.

24 Oh, I'm sorry. Don?

25 MR. DON WHITNEY: I have a question.

12

1 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Would you,
2 please, step to the podium?

3 MR. DON WHITNEY: My name is Don Whitney from
4 TSC Environmental.

5 I have a question of clarification about the
6 Subparagraph (5) where it says, "All published
7 notices." And I believe that's referring to the
8 notices of which there is two. And I'm a little
9 concerned that the -- it's maybe not clear. Do we
10 mean the Notice of Application and the Notice of the
11 Draft Permit or just Notice of the Draft Permit?

12 Specifically, if we're talking about
13 increment consumption, that is often not known with
14 the application and it might be a difficulty if we're
15 expecting that to be in the Application as well as the
16 Draft Permit.

17 MR. BROOKS KIRLIN: Well, I believe the
18 sections of the Uniform Permitting Act or Procedures
19 Act refer primarily -- I mean, primarily to the Notice
20 for the Draft Permit. I mean, obviously, that's the
21 point at which increment consumption is best -- would
22 have been best calculated.

23 I'm not sure what would be -- if there's a --
24 if you're submitting a PSD application, in the
25 application any modeling would have been done at that

13

1 point. If the modeling has been done, obviously, it
2 would be accounted for.

3 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: So typically with the
4 application, to be a complete application, you're
5 going to have submitted the modeling with it.

6 MR. BROOKS KIRLIN: Right.

7 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: That may get revised
8 as you go through the application process. But
9 usually, I think what Don's referring to, is the
10 notice that goes in the paper when you submit the
11 application, which is the first notice, pretty much
12 just states the location of the facility and the fact
13 that an application'd been submitted. And it doesn't
14 state in there the amount of a pollutant, whereas the
15 draft permit will say the total tons per year of each
16 pollutant. And I think that's the intent of where the
17 increment consumption would go.

18 So I do see where he's saying that all
19 published, all published notices, because usually we
20 would not have spelled that out in the first one.
21 Because it's very likely to change between the first
22 and second, if there's revisions to the modeling
23 during the application process. I mean, Phillip?

24 Thinking of our standard blurb that we have
25 to run when we do the Notice of Application.

14

1 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: Yeah. Phillip Fielder,
2 Permitting Section Manager.

3 Yeah, but that doesn't mean that, as part of
4 the application, you couldn't have that modeling and
5 submit the first attempt and you couldn't have that
6 listed.

7 But I go back to, the purpose of this is
8 under the PSD process. And the application notice
9 came about as part of the Title 5 program and not the
10 PSD program. So my application or interpretation of
11 this would be that this would only apply to the draft
12 permit notice, and not the application notice.

13 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Okay. So --

14 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: It may not be totally
15 clear on how this is worded, but that would be how I
16 would apply it.

17 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Well, that's the way I read
18 it, because paragraph (1) under (g) talks about the
19 application notice. So I read that as the application
20 notice. And then you go down to the other --

21 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: And that's --

22 MR. JIM HAUGHT: -- through the next --
23 through the next three and then you get to (5), I read
24 that as the permit.

25 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Right.

15

1 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: Right. Yeah, I agree.

2 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Because this (5) says
3 for permits under that.

4 MR. JIM HAUGHT: It says for permits.

5 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: Permits.

6 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Because the application
7 notice is addressed in (1).

8 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: Correct. Yes.

9 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: And that's --

10 MR. JIM HAUGHT: So that's the way I read it.

11 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: So that's the way I
12 read it when we had our pre-meeting last week. But I
13 do see where Don is asking for that clarification.
14 But as long as we're good with that and that's how
15 we're all reading it, then I don't see it --

16 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Yeah. That what I -- that's
17 how I see it.

18 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: So we wouldn't change
19 our first notice?

20 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: Nope.

21 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: And then the second
22 notice, basically your standard format would have a
23 little line that says for PSD permit applications
24 only, fill in this blank basically?

25 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: Yeah.

16

1 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: And that's going to be
2 that final modeling analysis that we've had to have
3 done to get an application issued anyway?

4 MR. PHILLIP FIELDER: Right. In support of
5 that. Yeah.

6 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Yeah.

7 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Okay. Any other
8 questions from the public?

9 Okay. Further comments or questions from the
10 Council?

11 MR. GARY COLLINS: I guess I'm curious if
12 that answers Don's concern, that clarification and
13 having the Staff, DEQ Staff, and Council on record?

14 MR. DON WHITNEY: Yes. I think that's
15 sufficient, if the Council and it goes part of the
16 record. That would be clear on what is really
17 expected by the increment consumption, that it would
18 only be in the draft permit.

19 MR. GARY COLLINS: Okay. Thank you.

20 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Okay. Laura, I
21 don't see any other comments at this time.

22 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Seeing no further
23 comments or questions, the Staff has recommended that
24 we accept this and pass it. Do I have a motion?

25 MS. SHARON MYERS: I so move.

17

1 Members of Council, Ladies and Gentlemen, good
2 morning. I'm Cooper Garbe. I'll present the
3 Department's proposed revision to Subchapter 7, Part
4 9, Permits By Rule.

5 The Department is proposing to add references
6 to NSPS 0000a to the oil and natural gas sector PBR in
7 OAC 252:100-7-60.5. These references do not create
8 any new regulatory requirements, but are intended to
9 reassure that the PBR does cover NSPS 0000a.

10 Additionally, the Department is proposing to
11 add the following clarifying language with regards to
12 determining a facility's eligibility for the PBR.

13 Quote, "Affected sources subject to a federal standard
14 (NSPS or NESHAP) may include enforceable limitations
15 in the calculation of potential emissions." You'll
16 find that added language to 252:100-7-60.5(a)(1)(e).

17 This also does not change the eligibility for
18 facilities, but better states what our permit section
19 has already been practicing.

20 Today the Department respectfully requests
21 that Council recommend the proposal to the
22 Environmental Quality Board to be adopted as a
23 permanent rule change.

24 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Thank you. Do
25 we have any questions from the Council?

19

1 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I have a motion. Do I
2 have a second?

3 MR. GERALD BUTCHER: I'll second that.

4 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I have a motion and a
5 second. Quiana, will you, please, call roll?

6 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Butcher?

7 MR. GERALD BUTCHER: Yes.

8 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Collins?

9 MR. GARY COLLINS: Yes.

10 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Gamble?

11 VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID GAMBLE: Yes.

12 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Haught?

13 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Yes.

14 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Lodes?

15 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Yes.

16 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Myers?

17 MS. SHARON MYERS: Yes.

18 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Motion passed.

19 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Okay. The next
20 item on the Agenda today is Item Number 6(B). This is
21 Chapter 100, Air Pollution Control, OAC 252:100-7,
22 Permits for Minor Facilities.

23 Mr. Cooper Garbe will be giving the Staff
24 presentation today.

25 MR. COOPER GARBE: Thank you. Madam Chair,

18

1 Seeing none, any questions from the public?

2 Seeing none, anyone else on the Council

3 thought of a question they'd like to bring up at this
4 time?

5 No one.

6 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Seeing no comments or
7 questions, Staff has recommended that we accept this
8 rule and pass it. Do I have a motion?

9 VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID GAMBLE: So moved.

10 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I have a motion. Do I
11 have a second?

12 MR. GERALD BUTCHER: I will second that.

13 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I have a motion and
14 second. Would you, please, call roll?

15 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Butcher?

16 MR. GERALD BUTCHER: Yes.

17 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Collins?

18 MR. GARY COLLINS: Yes.

19 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Gamble?

20 VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID GAMBLE: Yes.

21 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Haught?

22 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Yes.

23 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Lodes?

24 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Yes.

25 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Myers?

20

1 MS. SHARON MYERS: Yes.
 2 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Motion passed.
 3 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: The next item on
 4 today's Agenda is 6(C). This is OAC 252:100-47,
 5 Controlled Emissions from Existing Municipal Solid
 6 Waste Landfills. Appendix R, Emission Calculation for
 7 Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, which is new.
 8 And the presentation will be given by Mr.
 9 Malcolm Zachariah.

10 MR. MALCOLM ZACHARIAH: Thank you. Madam
 11 Chair, Members of the Council, Ladies and Gentlemen, I
 12 am Malcolm Zachariah, Environmental Programs
 13 Specialist with the Air Quality Division.

14 The Department has prepared revisions to
 15 Chapter 100, Subchapter 47, Control of Emissions from
 16 Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, to
 17 incorporate new federal guidelines into state rules.

18 On August 29, 2016, EPA revised the New
 19 Source Performance Standards, NSPS, and Emission
 20 Guidelines for new and existing municipal solid waste
 21 landfills, respectively. These rules lowered the
 22 emission threshold that requires landfills to install
 23 a gas collection and control system, or GCCS.

24 While the NSPS is a federal standard for new
 25 sources, Oklahoma must revise its state plan in order

21

1 folder. Both comments come from Mr. Matt Stutz of
 2 Weaver Consultants Group, who requested DEQ delay any
 3 action on revision to Subchapter 47 or preparation of
 4 a 111(d) plan until pending petitions and litigation
 5 to the federal rules are resolved.

6 On January 12th, we presented an informal
 7 presentation on the new rules to the Solid Waste
 8 Management Advisory Council. One council member, Ms.
 9 Brenda Merchant, stated she received requests from
 10 different constituents in the waste management
 11 industry that the state put on hold our plans due to
 12 the current litigation against the EPA regarding the
 13 federal rules.

14 Due to the pending litigation, received
 15 comments and upcoming changes to the EPA
 16 administration, Staff requests the Council hold these
 17 rules until a subsequent council meeting, allowing
 18 time for these issues to be resolved. Thank you.

19 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Thank you. Do
 20 we have any questions from the Council?

21 VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID GAMBLE: How long are we
 22 talking about? Or do you have an idea as far as how
 23 long to put this on hold?

24 MR. MALCOLM ZACHARIAH: Um --

25 VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID GAMBLE: I won't hold you

23

1 to implement the Emission Guidelines for existing
 2 sources. Subchapter 47 incorporates the guidelines
 3 for the state plan, which EPA requires to be submitted
 4 in 2017. The proposal would also add a new Appendix
 5 R, Emissions Calculations for Municipal Solid Waste
 6 Landfills, to incorporate equations pertinent to the
 7 proposed rule.

8 Landfill gas is approximately 50 percent
 9 methane, 50 percent CO₂ and less than 1 percent NMOCs,
 10 (Non-Methane Organic Compounds), which include
 11 hazardous air pollutants -- air pollutants and
 12 volatile organic compounds. NMOCs were chosen as the
 13 indicator pollutant of landfill gas because they pose
 14 the greatest risk to public health and welfare.
 15 Currently, landfills with the design capacity of 2.5
 16 million megagrams and 2.5 million cubic meters must
 17 install a gas collection and control system when NMOC
 18 emissions reach 50 megagrams per year. The proposed
 19 rules would reduce landfill gas emissions by lowering
 20 the NMOC threshold to 34 megagrams per year.

21 Notice was published in the *Oklahoma Register*
 22 on December 15th of 2016 for these proposed changes.
 23 The notice requested written comments from the public
 24 and other interested parties. We have received two
 25 written comments, which have been included in your

22

1 to it. I'm just curious.

2 MR. MALCOLM ZACHARIAH: So at least by June,
 3 but probably later than that. The EPA's deadline for
 4 submitting a plan is May of 2017. And realistically,
 5 if they find that failure to submit a plan and at some
 6 point develop a federal plan, it may be only at the
 7 end of 2017 before those steps would take place.

8 VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID GAMBLE: Okay. Thank
 9 you.

10 MR. JIM HAUGHT: I got a question as to the
 11 source of -- I mean, it's extensive changes proposed
 12 here. What was the source of the language for those
 13 changes?

14 MR. MALCOLM ZACHARIAH: Those are from the
 15 Emission Guidelines themselves. So the previous
 16 version of Subchapter 47, copied from the original
 17 Emission Guidelines, which referred to the NSPS, so
 18 they were just references to the Code of Federal
 19 Regulations. And so, these new rules, EPA did not do
 20 that and did not provide a model rule. So what we've
 21 done is, as close as possible, copy their language
 22 into our proposed rules.

23 MR. JIM HAUGHT: And so is there -- and I
 24 understand that we're going to be held to the federal
 25 standard. But my next question was going to be has

24

1 any of this been modified or paraphrased from the -- I
2 mean, when you say as close as possible, how can we
3 know which parts of this don't follow the federal
4 language?

5 MR. MALCOLM ZACHARIAH: We can't give a --
6 any kind of comparison, but, in general, there were
7 some language that says for a state plan a state must
8 include these things. So we removed those types of
9 language.

10 But the main differences were that we had a
11 section on permits which -- a state -- state-derived
12 language that we adapted for this new rule. But it
13 will -- it will require -- if you -- when we present
14 it for recommendation, we will give a further analysis
15 of what are the significant differences.

16 MR. JIM HAUGHT: And then I guess the
17 memorandum that's here from Eddie comments that in
18 Oklahoma the impact is expected to be that there will
19 be one to seven facilities that have additional
20 requirements, are those facilities the ones that would
21 be expected to fall between that 34 megagrams and the
22 50 megagrams where they're not required today but
23 potentially would be?

24 MR. EDDIE TERRILL: Yes, that's my
25 understanding.

25

1 have indicated they'd like to speak. The first is Mr.
2 David Thorley with Waste Management.

3 MR. DAVID THORLEY: Can we let Matt Stutz go
4 first?

5 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Pardon?

6 MR. DAVID THORLEY: Let Matt Stutz go first.

7 So --

8 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Well, you turned
9 yours in first, so I was going to let you go first.

10 MR. DAVID THORLEY: Well, let Matt. It's all
11 right.

12 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Okay. Matt
13 Stutz from Weaver Consultants Group.

14 If you'll restate your name, please.

15 MR. MATT STUTZ: Matt Stutz with Weaver
16 Consultants.

17 Good morning, it's good to be here with you.
18 Thank you for letting us be part of the rulemaking
19 process. Maybe, again, in the interest of time, I
20 won't go through my letter. I think maybe it was
21 included and it's good to know that you received that.

22 Again, just encourage and would request that
23 maybe a little more time be given to be able to work
24 through some of the flaws and some of the
25 implementation and overlap issues that occur in the

27

1 And back to your original question, Malcolm's
2 original presentation was quite a bit lengthier and he
3 was going to go into some of the details that would
4 have answered your questions. But since we knew we
5 were going to hold this over, we cut it down
6 significantly so we could get -- let the litigation
7 play out and see where we're really going with this
8 rule.

9 So I think some of the concerns you had would
10 have been addressed in the longer presentation. I
11 just elected to go ahead and ask him not to do that in
12 the interest of time, since we were holding this over
13 anyway.

14 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Okay. Well, this -- let me
15 ask that when we get to the point we're going to
16 recommend some action, there is enough changes here,
17 I'd like to see that ahead of time to see.

18 I mean, I understand if we have to
19 incorporate what's there, but if there is any
20 state-only changes, I'd like some time to evaluate
21 those.

22 MR. EDDIE TERRILL: Right. I understand.

23 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Okay. Any other
24 questions from the Council?

25 I have two individuals from the public that

26

1 rule, the current rule, and allow maybe some of the
2 litigation that's currently ongoing to work its way
3 through that process.

4 We certainly appreciate the ODEQ's jumping
5 out and putting together a plan to get the process
6 rolling, but we'd ask, I guess, for some additional
7 time to really be able to work through some of the
8 overlap and implementation issues that are currently
9 within the rule.

10 There are, as we noted, a few specific things
11 that are different in the proposed plan that are in
12 the current rule that maybe appeared to be more
13 stringent than the EPA's rule. And again, we would
14 just ask that, if we could have some time to work
15 through those, present those, and be able to work on
16 that.

17 The biggest concern we have is that this will
18 affect all landfills in Oklahoma. This is for the
19 existing landfills. And so, all existing landfills
20 will be pulled in under this regulation.

21 At the same time, the old regulations don't
22 really go away. So there is the overlap of old rules
23 continuing on with new rules stacked on top, which,
24 obviously, wasn't the intent. But there's no, what we
25 call, off ramp. The rules -- the old rules continue

28

1 to progress onward.

2 So if we could, again, just to be able to
3 present some more formal comments or work through some
4 of these issues, we would appreciate that opportunity.

5 As was stated, the plans are not due until
6 May 30th to the EPA. And so at least there's some
7 time window there that we could, if available, we
8 could use to kind of work through some of those.
9 Thank you.

10 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Thank you.

11 Mr. Thorley.

12 MR. DAVID THORLEY: Good morning. My name is
13 David Thorley. I'm Air Director for Waste Management.
14 And I cover half the United States. I've been
15 reviewing all these rules on a state-by-state basis
16 and how they have been going.

17 We would support you guys hold on to the rule
18 right now and not moving forward with it. However,
19 when we do go to the change up, we would like to make
20 a couple of points.

21 First of all, we'd like to see the Oklahoma
22 DEQ bring the rule in by reference. That way if the
23 EPA makes any changes to the EG Cf, especially if
24 through all the ongoing reconsiderations and things
25 like that happen, then your rule would automatically

29

1 after that, we have to have -- start the construction.

2 Right now, as the rule is written, we have to
3 give you guys 90 days after we submit our design plan
4 to even give us an opportunity to tell us if you're
5 going to review it or not.

6 So we would like to have an opportunity for
7 you guys to review the design plan and actually give
8 us approval before we have to start building it and
9 start securing contracts. So -- because right now we
10 really don't want to have to start building something
11 at our own risk and then have you guys come back and
12 change it and we have to do all kinds of changes
13 afterwards. Thank you very much for your time.

14 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Thank you.

15 Is there anyone else from the public that
16 wishes to comment on this rule?

17 Seeing none, additional questions from the
18 Council?

19 Also seeing none, do you need the
20 recommendation -- oh, sorry. Sharon?

21 MS. SHARON MYERS: stir and agitate. Ask
22 questions.

23 What's the down side of incorporation by
24 reference?

25 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Do you want to

31

1 change at the same time. Okay. So instead of having
2 to come back and do the rulemaking with you guys
3 again.

4 The other thing is, like Matt said, we'd like
5 to add something called book ends. Right now the way
6 the rule is written, and this is a problem with NSPS
7 XXX and the EG Cf, the agency didn't put anything in
8 there that said if you're subject to XXX or EG Cf,
9 you're no longer subject to NSPS WWW. So right now
10 the landfills, the way the rule is written right now,
11 would be subject to both.

12 The other thing is, in your draft rules, you
13 have a couple of sections right now that are more
14 stringent than the federal's. Under the EG Cf, you're
15 not supposed to have to do liquids recirculation,
16 liquids reporting. That was only meant for NSPS XXX
17 sites. That was not meant for the EG Cf sites. So
18 that is something that, right now, in your rules that
19 is more stringent than the feds.

20 The other part that your rules are more
21 stringent than the feds is under the construction
22 permit section. It talks about the increments of
23 progress, that you have to, within three months after
24 submitting a design plan, we have to secure contracts
25 to construct the EG Cfs. And within three months

30

1 get that?

2 MR. MALCOLM ZACHARIAH: So the emission
3 guidelines, we can't incorporate directly by
4 reference, because they're guidelines. And the
5 previous Emission Guidelines referred to the previous
6 NSPS, but they were very similar. And the current
7 NSPS XXX and current Commission guidelines Cf are --
8 they mix and match things, they have put things in
9 different order. And that's why we decided not to do
10 that.

11 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: Cheryl Bradley, Data and
12 Planning Manager, Air Quality Division.

13 The previous commenter requested that we
14 incorporate by reference and that would allow for an
15 automatic update. I wanted to mention that that's not
16 possible.

17 We are required to incorporate the rule as of
18 a specific date. We cannot prospectively incorporate
19 changes. With the annual update in the rules, it will
20 allow for the process to play out. So if we do
21 incorporate by reference, the -- it will be on
22 schedule to be updated. But what the commenter had
23 mentioned previously isn't possible, because it
24 essentially is prospective rulemaking.

25 And as to what will be possible, the outcome

32

1 could be that EPA actually comes out with a rule
2 that's a little bit more implementable-friendly than
3 this particular rule. We'd love to see a model rule
4 that would allow us to incorporate by reference. But
5 as Malcolm just indicated, Emission Guidelines,
6 because they are written from the perspective that it
7 is a directive by EPA to the state and not the
8 facility, incorporation by reference becomes unwieldy.

9 And in this case we felt it was not feasible
10 for us to incorporate piecemeal. It was better to
11 actually go ahead and put the rule text in our rule,
12 as opposed to setting up one paragraph or sentence and
13 then referencing out to a section in the regulation,
14 because you'd be back and forth probably at least a
15 hundred times.

16 So but, since we don't know what will come in
17 the future on this one, perhaps the comments that
18 states have made and that the DEQ made will be taken
19 into consideration. And when it's rolled out, if it
20 is modified, that it will be rolled out in such a form
21 that we can actually make it more understandable and
22 it's clear that what we put in the rule is equivalent
23 to what is required and not more.

24 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: One question I had --

25 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: Sure.

33

1 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: That is a possibility.

2 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Okay.

3 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: A lot of it will be
4 monitoring EPA's next move.

5 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Okay.

6 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: Malcolm said we might
7 expect something by the end of the year. Also this
8 plays into how much of an issue is this for any third
9 party who wishes to bring a lawsuit because EPA has
10 failed to meet its obligation.

11 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Correct.

12 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: The earliest of this
13 issue, typically we see six months after a critical
14 deadline is missed that the litigants will bring suit
15 and then EPA will enter into some kind of a settlement
16 where they will take an action.

17 So we'll continue to monitor it. We'll
18 address our response in an appropriate manner. It may
19 not be resolved by the end of the year. And what will
20 happen, there will be a finding. And, frankly, if we
21 get a rule on the books that meets EPA's requirements,
22 then EPA would not put us in the federal plan. And as
23 to the differences, we'd just have to look to see what
24 those are.

25 We're going to -- Eddie could address

35

1 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: -- on this was so
2 right now I know they recommended in here we put some
3 kind of a -- basically a disclaimer like I said like
4 we did in the Greenhouse Gas Rules where we said if
5 it's stayed, delayed, you know, otherwise rendered
6 unenforceable by the EPA, it's only enforceable in the
7 same category here.

8 Now, with that said, this is -- there is
9 chunks of this that are pretty set standards where we
10 could say a whole section, like the Section 7 -- 47-7
11 appears to be all new.

12 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: Uh-huh.

13 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: So you could do it
14 there. But there is other pieces where I think that
15 might be a little bit more difficult.

16 So I guess my recommendation would be, when
17 it comes back to us, if it's not just straight
18 incorporation by reference because they give us
19 guidelines, if we can package it more where we can add
20 that kind of condition in there, because I don't --
21 what I foresee happening is the litigation is still
22 going to be ongoing and the EPA is going to send you
23 some revision to this rule and we're still going to
24 have to put something forward. Is that likely what's
25 going to happen here?

34

1 anything with regards to enforcement discretion. But
2 we're not going to implement a rule that's more
3 stringent on the books than what EPA is going to
4 require us to do.

5 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Now, one other
6 question that I have logistically on this. And Laura,
7 it may end up being you.

8 So you guys have recommended that we carry it
9 forward. How -- I know there's a special way to word
10 it, because we can't -- because I don't want to close
11 this Subchapter out and not be able to open it up
12 again for a year. Because I believe that we --
13 there's a way we have to word this motion so you guys
14 can bring it back.

15 Do you want us to carry it forward to June?
16 Or how do we need to word that? Because if we don't
17 pass it, I think it closes for a year. I know there
18 is some goofiness with that on some of these chapters,
19 when you can't reopen them again for like a year after
20 you act on them.

21 MS. CHERYL BRADLEY: We have worded it as to
22 a subsequent meeting, so that it doesn't have to come
23 back to the June meeting, if that's satisfactory with
24 Laura.

25 MS. LAURA FINLEY: Yeah. I don't -- this is

36

1 Laura Finley with Air Quality.
 2 I don't even think we need to vote. We're
 3 not -- because we didn't bring it to ask -- and ask
 4 you to vote.
 5 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: It's on the official
 6 Agenda, so I think we have to make -- my understanding
 7 is we'll have to make an action on it. So we will
 8 have to vote.
 9 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: I don't think
 10 this is any different from any other rule where we
 11 decide to not take -- to not pass it at this time.
 12 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Correct.
 13 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: But just
 14 continue it to a later meeting.
 15 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: But I know there is
 16 always wording for that. That's why I want to make
 17 sure that when make the -- when the Staff makes this
 18 -- or the council makes this motion, we word it right.
 19 MS. LAURA FINLEY: So you move --
 20 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: We come up with this
 21 question every time we do it.
 22 MS. LAURA FINLEY: Use the language that we
 23 used. So whatever Malcolm said. You know --
 24 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Okay.
 25 MS. LAURA FINLEY: -- we recommend --

37


1 second. Would you, please, call roll?
 2 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Butcher?
 3 MR. GERALD BUTCHER: Yes.
 4 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Collins?
 5 MR. GARY COLLINS: Yes.
 6 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Gamble?
 7 VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID GAMBLE: Yes.
 8 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Mr. Haught?
 9 MR. JIM HAUGHT: Yes.
 10 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Lodes?
 11 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Yes.
 12 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Ms. Myers?
 13 MS. SHARON MYERS: Yes.
 14 MS. QUIANA FIELDS: Motion passed.
 15 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: All right. That
 16 concludes the hearing portion of today's meeting.
 17 (Whereupon, the hearing portion of the
 18 meeting concluded.)
 19 ADJOURNMENT - 11:00 A.M.
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

39

1 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Staff has recommended
 2 --
 3 MS. LAURA FINLEY: We're asking the Council
 4 to carry it forward to --
 5 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: -- that Council
 6 carries it forward to a subsequent meeting.
 7 MS. LAURA FINLEY: -- a subsequent meeting.
 8 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: Okay. I just want to
 9 make sure we use the right wording upfront when we
 10 make the motion, because that becomes a complication
 11 every time, I think.
 12 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Okay. So do we
 13 have any other questions from the Council?
 14 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I don't see -- Sharon?
 15 MS. SHARON MYERS: No. I'm good.
 16 MS. BEVERLY BOTCHLET-SMITH: Seeing none,
 17 Laura, do you want to call for a vote or a motion?
 18 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: The Staff has -- Staff
 19 has recommended that we carry this rule forward to a
 20 subsequent meeting. Do I have a motion?
 21 MS. SHARON MYERS: So move.
 22 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I have a motion. Do I
 23 have a second?
 24 MR. GERALD BUTCHER: You do. Second.
 25 CHAIRMAN LAURA LODES: I have a motion and a

38

1 * * C E R T I F I C A T E * *
 2 STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
 3 COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) SS:
 4
 5 I, Lynette Wrany, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
 6 within and for the State of Oklahoma, do hereby
 7 certify that I reported all of the foregoing meeting,
 8 and that I later reduced it to typewritten form, as
 9 the same appears herein.
 10 I further certify that I am not a relative of,
 11 nor attorney for, nor clerk or stenographer for any
 12 party to this meeting, and that I am not otherwise
 13 interested in the event of the same.
 14 I further certify that the above and foregoing
 15 typewritten pages contain a full, true and correct
 16 transcript of my stenographic notes so taken, during
 17 said meeting.
 18 WITNESS my hand and seal this the 18th day of
 19 January, 2017.
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25



 LYNETTE WRANY, C.S.R.
 Oklahoma Certified Shorthand Reporter
 Certificate No. 1167
 Expiration Date: December 31, 2017

40



AIR QUALITY COUNCIL
Attendance Record
January 18, 2017
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

NAME and/or AFFILIATION

Address and/or Phone and/or E-Mail

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| MELANIE FOSTER | DEQ | |
| Jeremy Jewell | Trinity Consultants | jjewell@trinityconsultants.com |
| Cheryl Bradley | DEQ-AQD | |
| Lynette Wraney | Ch. Rptr | |
| Bud Ground | EFO | |
| Talyn Barnett | DEQ | |
| Madison Miller | DEQ | |
| LEON ASHFORD | DEQ | |
| Tom Richardson | DEQ | |
| Paula Carboni | Waste Management | pcarboni@wm.com |
| DAVID THORLEY | Waste Management | dthorley@wm.com |
| Carly Cousins | CF / AQD | gcollins@ctindustries.com |
| Malcolm Zachariah | DEQ AQD | malcolm.zachariah@deq.org |
| DAVID GAMBLIE | PHILLIPS 66 | (580) 767-2862 |
| Quiana Fields | DEQ | |
| Jim Haught | AQC | |
| Kate Kennedy | DEQ | |
| Cooper Barber | DEQ | |
| Greg Vance | TSC | (405) 250-3921 gvance@TSC.org |
| Beverly Batchlet Smith | DEQ | |
| Jonathan Truong | DEQ | |
| Rick Groszow | DEQ | |
| Gerald Brecha | DEQ | |
| Patricia Riley | DEQ | |
| Steve Landers | CP | |
| Lundy Rife | AES | |



AIR QUALITY COUNCIL
Attendance Record
January 18, 2017
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

NAME and/or AFFILIATION

Address and/or Phone and/or E-Mail

| | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| Don Whitney | TSC ENVIRO | dwhitney@tscenvironmental.com |
| Laura Lodes | AQC | Laura.Worthen-Lodes@eccgrp.com |
| Mike Hixon | OG+E | hixonms@ogp.com |
| Laura Horron | " | |
| Terry Blodgett | " | |
| Randy Ward | AQP | |
| Matt Stutz | WBC | mstutz@wbcgrp.com |
| Carrie Schroeder | DEQ- | |
| Laura Finley | DEQ | |
| Jason Lipscomb | MARKWEST | |
| Jeremy Townley | Trinity | |
| Brooks Kirkin | AQD | |
| Gary Keek Keek | Hall Estill | gkeek@hallstill.com |
| Nancy Marshment | DEQ - AQD | |
| Brenda Daniel | Tinker AFB | 405-734-4552 |
| Constana Bures | Tinker AFB | 405-642-1311 |
| CINDY HAILES | DEQ-LPD | / |
| Darson Lissette | AQD | |
| Phillip Fielitz | AQP | |
| Sarah Penn | | |
| Martha Penisten | | |
| Micah Wynn | DEQ | |
| Brian McQuownd | OG+E | mcquownd@ogp.com |
| KEN RUFFIN | AEP | kruffin@aep.com |
| Pat Sullivan | DEQ AQD | |
| Lloyd Kil | DEQ | |
| Kathryn Crenwelge | IP | Kathryn Kathryn.Crenwelge@ipaper.com |